## Case 1:23-cr-00370-JGLC Document 44 Filed 01/05/24 Page 1 of 2



## **U.S. Department of Justice**

United States Attorney Southern District of New York

The Silvio J. Mollo Building One Saint Andrew's Plaza New York, New York 10007

January 5, 2024

## BY ECF

The Honorable Jessica G.L. Clarke United States District Judge Southern District of New York United States Courthouse 500 Pearl Street New York, NY 10007

Re: United States v. Joseph Lewis, et al., S1 23 Cr. 370 (JGLC)

Dear Judge Clarke:

The Government writes on behalf of the parties concerning the upcoming status conference in this matter, presently scheduled for January 10, 2024, at 10:00 a.m. The parties understand that the Court proposes moving this conference to January 25, 2024, at 10:00 a.m., and the parties are all available at this proposed date and time and consent to the adjournment.

In addition, the parties agree that the Court should exclude time in the interests of justice under the Speedy Trial Act pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) through the date of the conference, January 25, 2024. Such an exclusion of time would, among other things, allow the defendants to continue their ongoing review of discovery material and contemplate potential pretrial motions. A proposed order is attached for the Court's consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

DAMIAN WILLIAMS United States Attorney

By: s/ Jason A. Richman
Jason A. Richman / Nicolas Roos
Assistant United States Attorneys
(212) 637-2589/2421

cc: Defense Counsel (by ECF)

UNITED	STATES	DISTRI	ICT C	OURT		
SOUTHEF	RN DIST	RICT OF	F NEW	YORK		
					 	-x

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

- v. - <u>ORDER</u>

JOSEPH LEWIS, et al., 23 Cr. 370 (JGLC)

Defendants.

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - X

Upon the application of the United States of America, by and through Assistant United States Attorneys Jason A. Richman and Nicolas Roos, and with the consent of the defendants through counsel, it is hereby ORDERED that the time between January 10, 2024 and January 25, 2024, is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), in the interests of justice. The court finds that the ends of justice served by granting a continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial, because it would permit the defendants to continue their review of discovery material and contemplate potential pretrial motions.

Dated: New York, New York January \_\_\_\_, 2024

THE HONORABLE JESSICA G.L. CLARKE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE